26

27

28

Aurang Zaib Khan, Et Al 1969 East Cooley Ave. San Bernardino, CA 92408

Unsecured Creditor In Pro Se

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re:

PG&E CORPORATION,

Debtor.

In re:

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Debtor.

Affects PG&E Corporation

x\_ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Affects Both Debtors.

All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case No. 18-30088 (DM)

Case No.:3:19-bk-30088 (Lead Case) Chapter 11 Case No.:3:19-bk-30089 Chapter 11 UNSECURED CREDITOR CLAIM NO. 7083

NOTICE THAT THIS CREDITOR WILL RECOVER ANY JUDGEMENT OBTAINED IN ANY OTHER VENUE, THROUGH THE CLAIM PROCESS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF CONFIRMED PLAN OF REORGANIZATION, OR LACK THEREOF, OR DEFICIENT, NOT AGREED UPON BY ALL CREDITORS, CONSTRUED AS UNRESOLVED AND OUTSTANDING CLAIMS; THAT THIS LIFT OF AUTOMATIC STAY CANNOT PRECLUDE THIS CREDITOR TO ENFORCE SUBSEQUENTLY OBTAINED JUDGEMENT AGAINST DEBTOR, DUE TO PREVIOUSLY, IN THIS COURT, ASSERTED AS "NO" TO ANY PLAN'S TERM AND "NO" TO ANY PLAN OF REORGANIZATION.

## TO THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

The undersigned Creditor", In Pro Se, hereby notify this Court, that "Everyone has right to be involved in this bankruptcy process – especially individual Creditors, poisoned with Debtor's byproducts", and that this Creditor previously asserted as not agreeable to any plan.

-1-

ase: 19-30088 Doc# 7110 Filed: 05/05/20 Entered: 05/07/20 16:11:13 Page 1 of

This Creditor, strongly believe, that the Hon. Dennis Montali recollects *Anderson, et al. v. Pacific Gas and Electric,* file BCV 00300. (Judicial Notice).

This Creditor, strongly believe, (another Judicial Notice) that the Hon. Dennis Montali recollects that the Debtor is also a Convicted Felon, due to endless and countless severe and "draconian" in nature gross negligence acts, such as San Bruno Gas Explosion, Fire Victims, and a myriad of others, and obviously recollects one of oldest gross negligence on this planet, being the ongoing murder of innocent inhabitants in the town of Hinkley, California, that is now almost 70 years in complaints, and all of these draconian acts, since 1992 and subsequently since 2013 to present, that were evidenced by the massive cover-up on this planet by the State of California Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board, should bring light to the end of the tunnel. Well, partially, but better than nothing at all.

This Creditor noticed the difference between the artful and thwarted language as to what the Debtor asserted (quote): "....on the condition that such grant of relief from stay does not permit the Hinkley Movants to enforce any judgment..." they might obtain against the Utility, versus what the Hon. Dennis Montali, Presiding Judge asserted (quote): "This order does not permit Movants to enforce any judgment they might obtain against Debtors during the pendency of this case." There is a huge difference, "enforce any judgement at any time, versus "enforce judgment during the pendency of this case, A "draconian" Chapter 11 Case. Thank you Honorable Dennis Montali, Presiding.

As always, this Debtor have attempted to inject this Debtor mouth into Judges' mouths, just name the Judge, initially in the mouths of the confused by this Debtor judges in the U.S. District Court Central District of California – Riverside, causing the Judges at the District Court to improperly dismiss all Plaintiffs' Complaints, now in the Ninth Circuit, by throwing out of the doors of that Court all of Plaintiff's Complaints, not bases on any true, genuine and uncontroverted evidence, but on "fake narrative" injected by the unscrupulous counsels representing the Defendant - this Debtor, and most definitely will again notoriously brainwash Ninth Circuit Court three judges, and inject Defendant's (this Debtor) mouth into the panel of the three judges. Count on it. Never ending "saga". Judgement day is soon.

24

25

26

27

28

To that end, not only Creditor's attorneys for other victims, but Creditors In Pro Se, commonly provide information as to pros and cons of any plan to ensure that votes are made with all information having been considered. However, courts should not allow a party to circumvent the system by proposing or suggesting multiple plans, and conditions to stipulation, including but not limited to conditions which effectively deprives this Creditor to due process, not only in this Court, but in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and on remand this Creditor will be disenfranchised from voting on any plan, which has neither gained court approval nor been subject to adequate disclosure.

Not to be dissuaded, Debtor has refashioned not only his disdain for Debtors' Plan by not providing the full picture to this Court, nothing is further from the truth. In fact, Debtor may be the party providing inaccurate information in an attempt to garner support for a "plan" that is not court-approved and likely subject to sanctions under the very authority cited. Section § 1125(b) provides once a disclosure statement has been approved by a bankruptcy court and transmitted to creditors along with a plan or reorganizations, plan acceptances or rejections can be solicited. 11 U.S.C. §1125(b).

A soliciting party, not limited to this Creditor, may without prior court approval—(1) offer a narrative, evidence, conclusions, or opinions contrary to that enunciated in the plan or disclosure statement; (2) assert positions, evidence, conclusions, or opinions of relevant matters which are not contained in the plan or court-approved disclosure statement; or (3) offer evidence or opinions of an alternative liquidation analysis, since the debtors have a liquidation analysis as part of their disclosure statement. In re Apex Oil Co., 111 B.R. 245, 249 (Bankr. E.D. Mo. 1990).

## CONCLUSION

For all of the reasons above, which are not exclusive, unless massive Volume are place before the bench, and since this Creditor-Plaintiff-Victim of Crime, assertions were ignored and all objection to confirmation, and as to any plan to be confirmed, ware not included, nor any voting was ever offered by this Court, this Creditor must not ever being adjudicated as bankrupt and out of bankruptcy, until resolves all claims by all Creditors.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 7110 Filed: 05/05/20 3 Entered: 05/07/20 16:11:13 Page 3 of

1 2 3	Dated:	MAY 0 2 20%0	Respectfully submitted,  By: Marfard Mule
4			Aurang Zaib Khan
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 7110 Filed: 05/05/20 Entered: 05/07/20 16:11:13 Page 4 of 5

28

## PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is: P.O. Box HD, Barstow, CA 92311

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled (spe OBTAINED IN ANY OTHER VENUE, THROUGH THE CLAIM PROCE	cify); NOTICE THAT THIS CREDITOR WILL RECOVER ANY JUDGEME
REORGANIZATIONOR LACKOR DEFICIENTBEING UNRESOLVED CLAIMS A THIS CREDITOR TO ENFORCE JUDGEMENT AGAINST THE DEBTOR, DUE TO	DEPENDENCE OF ASSERTED WHOM TO ANY DEARING TERM AND NO TO A
will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the	form and manner required by LRR 5005-2(d); and (b) in
the manner stated below:	Total and manner required by Ebit 6000 2(a), and (b) in
4 TO DE OFFICE BY THE COURT WAS NOTICE OF THE	
1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTION Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court of	RONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General
. I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bank	ruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that
the following persons are on the Electronic Mall Notice List to re	ceive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated
below:	
	_
	Service information continued on attached page
2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL:	
On (date) 05/ 02/2020 , I served the following persons and	d/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruntcy
case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy	thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail
first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing th	e judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the
judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the docume	nt is filed.
KELLER BENVENUTTI 650 California Street, Suite 1900, San Franc	isco, California 94108, and to the Presiding Judge: Hon.
Dennis Montali United States Bankruptcy Court For The Northern Dist	trict Of California, San Francisco Division 450 Golden Gate
Avenue, 16 th Floor, San Francisco, California 94102	
	Service information continued on attached page
3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, F	FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR FMAIL (state method
<u>for each person or entity served)</u> : Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/	or controlling LBR, on (date) L served
the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overni	ght mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to
such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as	follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration
that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge <u>will be c</u> filed.	ompleted no later than 24 hours after the document is
illou,	
	Service information continued on attached page
declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United St	ates that the foregoing is true and correct.
	00 0 91 1
15/ 02/2020 Shirley Holcroft	shuly Holeroff
Date Printed Name	Signature \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

F 9013-3.1.PROOF.SERVICE Entered: 05/07/20 16:11:13 Page 5 of

June 2012